

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,091	CHUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Morris	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia L. Morris.

(2) Mr. Evans.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 10 will not be rejoined because the final product produced in claim 1 is S(-)-amlodipine and not the compound of claim 10. Counsel gave authority to cancel claims 10 and 11 drawn to nonelected subject matter.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)